What Is a Caveman?

By Dave and Mary Jo Nutting

When you hear the word caveman, what picture pops into your mind? Is it a hunched over, hairy, half-man/half-ape creature with a club in his hand? This is the popular idea. The familiar Fred Flintstone is a good example. Where does this idea originate? Is it based on good fossil evidence?

Actually, the popular image of cavemen has been ingrained in our minds by the media who have been brainwashed into believing we evolved from ape-like creatures. Hence, thousands of children are convinced that we evolved from apes without ever seeing a shred of evidence. The pictures they see in books and on television are actually artists’ reconstructions based on fragmentary evidence and preconceived ideas. Remember the case of Nebraska Man discussed in the last issue of Think & Believe? The complete apearman reconstruction was based entirely on one tooth — and a lot of preconceived ideas. The tooth was later found to be from an extinct pig and not a man after all. Preconceived ideas have been flavoring the evidence for years.

These pictures very subtly (but quite effectively!) sway children and adults into accepting evolutionary doctrine. While we were visiting a family in which the parents strongly believed in creation, the subject of evolution came up (as it frequently does around us!). The mother was shocked when her 5th grade daughter expressed her belief that people evolved from apes. This youngster was convinced and her parents didn’t even know why. Pictures are convincing. Unfortunately, they are not so much based on evidence as they are on someone’s preconceived ideas of what the evidence represents. The artist seems to get the blame, but remember the true blame rests with the scientist who dictates what the artist should draw. Many are given previously reconstructed skulls which have already had plenty of speculation administered and asked to proceed from there.

So then, what is a caveman if it is not the half ape/half man evolutionary missing link? A caveman is simply a man who lives in a cave. Many people around the world today would fit this category, and they are not necessarily any more “primitive” than we are. We personally know of 2 families in the Grand Junction area who live in caves today. (That makes them cave men and cave women and cave kids, doesn’t it?) However, when they drive to town in their fancy automobiles no one would suspect them to be cavepeople because they look the same as everyone else. Our conclusion is that “ancient” cavemen were merely men who lived in caves.

A tale of a tail in this issue
Hello! I'm here to help you with your questions. What would you like to know about this image?
Homo Erectus

In our last issue we spotlighted the first five creatures in the graphic illustration of our “evolutionary tree of ancestors” found in the November, 1985 National Geographic. We concluded that these creatures did not run as the magazine pictures them doing — in fact they didn’t even walk in an upright fashion. They were purely apes and monkeys and are no more our relatives than the man in the moon. In this issue we proceed to climb the evolutionary tree and take a look at the next candidates for our “ancestors.”

_Homo erectus_ is shown as a very primitive half-ape/half-man link. As we look at the available evidence, however, it becomes evident that he indeed was true man. The original _Homo erectus_ (Java Man) was reconstructed from a cranium (skull cap) and a fully modern femur (leg bone) found about 50 feet away. The discoverer later said he thought the skull was merely that of a gibbon. So much for Java man. The controversial bones of another _Homo erectus_ (Peking Man) mysteriously disappeared and are not available for inspection.

The most interesting find of _Homo erectus_ is that of a 12 year old boy. It was estimated that he would have grown to be a man in excess of 6 feet tall. The height alone has thrown some of the anthropologists into confusion (it really doesn’t take much new evidence to do that) since it has been assumed that our “ancestors” were short ape-men. The October 19, 1984, Rocky Mountain News reports:

The skeleton will revise previous notions that humankind’s close ancestors were scrawny ape-like creatures not much taller than 5 feet, said Richard Leakey, director of the National Museums of Kenya. In fact, they were taller than most populations today.

While some researchers are saying the bones are virtually identical to modern man, others are saying there are slight differences. This would probably put the differences within the genetic variability of modern man. One researcher has said that it is surprising how long man has looked like man.

As always, when new finds are uncovered, we must be careful in our blanket acceptance of the original claims — they frequently change — but according to data we now have, _Homo erectus_ is not the “missing link.”

The Neanderthals

The Neanderthals are some of the most popular cavemen. For years they were portrayed by the media as very stupid, ape-like creatures — now they are considered entirely human. Why? Preconceived ideas often flavored the interpretation of the evidence. Also, early Neanderthal fossil reconstructions were based upon bones of diseased individuals. Further fossil finds and more investigation led to a consensus among paleo-anthropologists that Neanderthal was in fact within the genetic potential of man today and would probably go unnoticed in a crowd.

To most people Neanderthal man is anything but human — a grunting, shuffling beast rather than an intelligent being. But recently a true picture of him has taken shape, and a different picture it is from the one commonly held. Within less than a generation of our own lifetime this ancient man has been lifted from the misconceptions of nearly a century to deserved inclusion within the ranks of humanity. (Ralph Solecki in the Introduction to The Neanderthals by George Constable and the Editors of TIME-LIFE BOOKS, 1973, p. 7)

The range of variability of Neanderthal features has been shown to overlap with the modern range of variability more so than once was thought. This means that Neanderthal features may occasionally be seen just by strolling through the nearest crowd, although no individual will have a complete array of Neanderthal characteristics . . . . It helps to know that Neanderthals came in many shapes and sizes, and that these are sometimes matched by modern men. (George Constable and the Editors of TIME-LIFE BOOKS, The Neanderthals, 1973, p. 129)

Our typesetter told us she had a friend who exhibited at least 12 definite “Neanderthal” characteristics, and “Boy, was he handsome!” Even though our picture of Neanderthal man has been changed, media artists still perpetuate the misconception. We maintain it is the artistic reconstruction and not the evidence that has falsely convinced so many about evolution.

To sum up the evidence presented in these last two issues of Think & Believe we can say that the fossils seem to fit into one of three categories: pure monkey, pure ape, or pure man. The only intermediate forms bridging the gaps are creatures imagined by evolutionary scientists and drawn by artists. Truly, they have made monkeys out of men. We have climbed National Geographic’s “evolutionary tree” and found that when the evolutionists have presented all their findings, they are still out on a limb. Man has always been man. Ape has always been ape.
DARWIN'S DINGALING THEORY
OR
The Biggest Hole in the Theory of Evolution

What self-respecting monkey there swinging from that tree would ever think of giving up that HANDY TAIL? Not me!

This prehistoric grunter is just beginning to see it takes all three to start a fire . . . two paws plus a tail, you see.

Then strolling down this pathway it spied some logs . . . oh whee! With a hole-in-one, for work and fun "golf" and "wagons" were begun. (Using its tail yet? Yessiree!)

A handy holder-upper I'll STILL need when talking to thee . . . one to hold the phone . . . two to write this poem. Lose MY tail? NOT ME!

—Leona Buhr Schalow
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Would you like additional information about any one of the condensed articles or on another subject that we haven't even touched upon as yet? Write to us and we will gladly send you some.
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